

Attachment 4

**SUMMARY OF WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE TOWNSHIP OF BROCK IN
PREPARATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF BROCK OFFICIAL PLAN**

#	DATE	SUBMITTER	COMMENTS	BROCK OP
1.	Oct 20 /04	Unsigned	<p>1. Most important fact Township faces is lack of job creation</p> <p>2. Require improvements to existing highways - where is Highway 404?</p> <p>3. Need for better highways as Highway 12 cannot handle the existing aggregate traffic - safety a concern</p> <p>3. Pickering Airport will create a need for more homes and highways and more aggregate.</p> <p>4. Lake Simcoe a great natural resource - improve Beaverton Harbour</p> <p>5. Lower lot levies to encourage building and increase tax base.</p> <p>6.lobby against Provincial Government re building in green area so that local businesses can get ahead with construction</p>	<p>The proposed expansion of Beaverton to include an Employment area is aimed at addressing the need for job creation.</p> <p>A policy has been added to Section 6.3 Transportation to address the future Highway 404.</p> <p>The importance of Beaverton Harbour is recognized focal point of the community with specific policies for future development in Section 5.7</p>
2.	Oct 22 /04	Joe Petrie	<p>1. Minimal support for youth & youth programs outside of hockey, baseball & art</p> <p>2. Creation/development and support of a youth centre in each of the 3 communities in Brock is essential in providing opportunities for the youth</p> <p>3. Community could mentor young people to develop necessary skills.</p> <p>4. Market Township as a community that support our youth.</p> <p>5. Create a community that provides a reason for our young people to stay</p> <p>6. If we fail to include our youth in our overall plan for the future, then we have failed to truly look ahead.</p>	<p>The official plan focuses on the development of strong, complete and balanced communities in the Township where people of all ages can meet their individual needs (2.2.3.2).</p>
3.	Nov 1 /04	Susan Wright	<p>1. Vision includes health care, new homes, new school, fully staffed fire department, police services, youth centre and</p>	<p>1. The official plan focuses on the development of strong, complete and balanced communities.</p>

			<p>tourism with funding</p> <p>2. Capacity of sewers, water supply to support growth</p> <p>3. Opportunity - downtown growth</p> <p>4. Need tourism and parks</p> <p>5. Need a visionary Council</p>	<p>2. Major issue facing Township is the provision of sewer and water supply for future development (5.2.3.7)</p> <p>3. Commercial development is focused on the downtowns.</p>
4.	Undated	Unsigned	<p>1. Vision is residential development in Beaverton with reasonable fees in place</p> <p>2. Township & Region need to realize that life exists outside of Oshawa.</p> <p>3. Reduced fees can be recouped through taxation in the years to come.</p>	<p>Sufficient land is designated for residential development in Beaverton for the next 20 years. Application fees and Development Charges are required to cover the cost of new development.</p>
5.	Undated	Unsigned	<p>1. Area at Highway 12 and 48 is excellent agricultural land and should not become industrial when there is so much inferior agricultural land.</p>	<p>The land will remain agricultural in accordance with the Greenbelt Plan.</p>
6.	Nov 24 /04	M. Tierney	<p>1. Need industry to create jobs so children don't have to relocate.</p> <p>2. Clean up river above old Beaverton Dam and create walking paths on both sides of the Beaverton River and nature paths outside of the community</p> <p>3. More industry would generate more shops</p> <p>4. Need industry, new homes and businesses.</p>	<p>1. The proposed expansion of Beaverton to include an employment area is aimed at addressing the need for job creation.</p> <p>2. The provision of trails is addressed in Section 5.6</p> <p>3. The official plan focuses on the development of strong, complete and balanced communities</p>
7.	Dec. 15 /04	Paul Grills	<p>1. Requests that the lands in Part of Lots 19 & 20 Concession 11 be included in the residential designation in Cannington.</p> <p>2. 30 of the 80 acres is in the urban area and is the site of a proposed elementary school</p> <p>3. Beaver River forms a natural boundary</p> <p>4. land is not prime agricultural land.</p>	<p>The portion of the lands within Cannington will be permitted to develop on full municipal services. The proposed expansion to include the remaining 50 acres (portion not within the flood plain) should be brought forward for consideration at the next OP review.</p>
8.	Dec. 21 /04	Arthur Barkey	<p>1. Requests that lands in Lot 20 Conc. 12 on the edge of Cannington be considered for</p>	<p>The proposed expansion to bring the lands into Cannington</p>

			housing	should be brought forward for consideration at the next OP review.
9.	Feb. 8 /05	H. Alsop	<p>1. Development should not take place on prime agricultural land</p> <p>2. In Beaverton area utilize land that is not very productive for farm, housing, commercial and industrial purposes</p> <p>3. Eventhough Regional 23 is identified as a constraint due to its seasonal load restriction, it is still used daily in moving auto parts from the Seeburn Factory</p> <p>4. Long term advantage of preserving agricultural land should far outweigh extra cost in extending services in Beaverton</p> <p>5. Needs jobs for Beaverton and the Township – commuting adds to pollutions and increased highway construction and maintenance and dollars are spent elsewhere</p> <p>6. Should encourage light industries which provide employment and minimum pollution</p> <p>7. Should differentiate between good farm land and minimally productive land in Green Belt designation</p>	<p>1. The policies in the Greenbelt Plan and the Durham Region Official Plan restrict develop that can occur on prime agricultural land.</p> <p>2. Policies have been included that recognize the importance of agriculture to the economy of Brock.</p> <p>3. The proposed expansion of Beaverton to include an employment area is aimed at addressing the need for job creation.</p> <p>3. Industries which are noxious or pollute will not be permitted to locate in Brock.</p> <p>4. The Region is examining the differentiation between good farmland and minimally productive land in accordance with the Greenbelt Plan.</p>
10	Jan. 28 /05	Doris Sky	Concerned regarding the original intent to extend the 404 and the freeze of future growth in the area – conflict	The timing of the construction of the 404 and the land freeze are both provincial issues.
11	Feb 2005	Doris Sky	<p>1.Highway 404 discussed since 1992 and DROP Review identified growth planning.</p> <p>2.Perhaps there is a need to entertain the idea of a unifying centre somewhere along Highway 12 and 48</p> <p>3. Require “Fair Development Enhancement” to just maintain our communities – Development that would not unfairly infringe upon land but would support all that which exists.</p>	<p>1. A policy has been added to Section 6.3 Transportation to address the future Highway 404.</p> <p>2. There is a proposed policy in the OP that would allow for Council to consider a suitable location for a central recreation complex.</p> <p>3. The official plan focuses on the development of strong,</p>

			<p>4.If we fail to consider people already living here and ‘save it all’ for some 30-year future influx of strangers, we will disservice ourselves and discourage hopes of young people</p> <p>5.Recent beautification and enhancement spending must have been done for a more immediate promise of some growth</p> <p>6. Develop the long term goal plan but also allow for expansion in the short term.</p>	complete and balanced communities.
12	Apr 20 /05	Heather Beveridge, North House Shelter	Requests that transitional housing being defined as housing for the purposes of zoning. Defined as separate tenant units for families or singles without common living space.	Section 5.2.3.9 permits transitional housing in Residential Areas. Definitions are generally included in the Zoning By-law.
13	Apr 28 /05	George Lloyd	Requests property to be included in the hamlet of Port Bolster – south side of road allowance between Concessions 13 and 14 and a line east of Port Bolster National Stone	The property is located within the hamlet of Port Bolster – no revision required,
14	Nov. 8 /05	Simcoe County	Requests that the Township of Ramara be identified on Map 5 and Map 11	It is agreed to add the Township of Ramara to the relevant maps.
15	Nov. 18 /05	Don Shearer	<p>1.Requests that area east of railroad line on Cameron St be included in Cannington – has water, street lights, easily serviceable, flat, well-drained and prime area for development.</p> <p>2.lands are close to Simcoe Street – adding visibility and access</p> <p>3. Lands to west are “filled” land, have potential to interfere with well heads</p>	The Greenbelt Act does not permit the expansion of the Cannington Urban Area until 2015. This area should be examined as a future expansion area in the next OP review.
16	Nov. 21 /05	Maureen Johnston	Requests that property on east side of Cannington and adjacent to sewage lagoons be included in the Cannington Urban Area.	The Greenbelt Act does not permit the expansion of the Cannington Urban Area until 2015. This area should be examined as a future expansion area in the next OP review.
17	Nov. 16 /05	Herbert Goldie Kathleen	Requests inclusion of property in the Cannington Urban Area	The Greenbelt Act does not permit the

		Umemoto		expansion of the Cannington Urban Area until 2015. This area should be examined as a future expansion area in the next OP review.
18	Nov. 22 /05	Herbert Goldie Kathleen Umemoto	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wonderful opportunity to think outside the "box" and truly plan for a future. 2. Area to the east of Cannington should be included in Cannington 3. Existing industrial lands are poorly located 4. Need to be creative in looking at residential and industrial lands for the next 30 years. 	It is agreed that the industrial lands are poorly located and more appropriate areas should be identified in the Township. The next review of the OP will examine all urban areas for potential expansion
19	Nov. 23 /05	Anne Collins	Requests that 1.5 acres in south part Lot 20, Concession 11, in the urban area of Cannington be reded-ignated to Residential from Industrial	It is agreed that the lands should be redesignated to Residential.
20	Nov. 18 /05	Township of Uxbridge	Commends Brock for its initiative for preparing an Official Plan and advises the Uxbridge does not have any concerns with the draft Official Plan	No action requested
21	Nov. 29 /05	David Barkey	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In favour of proposed extension of current boundary to include residential cluster of Saginaw 2. area currently has municipal water service 3. Saginaw is a historical settlement area 4. Housing development in Saginaw is similar to development in Cannington 5. Option for development on private services in Cannington must be maintained to allow for some modest infill and incremental development 6. A lower density of development will be achieved in Cannington than originally believed due to the amount of land constrained by flood plain and topographical features 7. More practical to develop to the west rather than the east due to traffic routing, existing municipal services and the 	The Greenbelt Act does not permit the expansion of the Cannington Urban Area until 2015. The proposed Expansion Areas will not be included in the final OP. This area should be examined as a future expansion area in the next OP review.

			amount of existing development.	
22	Nov. 29 /05	H. Goldie	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Recommends the establishment of Residential and Employment Lands east of Cannington – presented an alternative plan for development 2. Suggests that the south west corner of Simcoe Street and Cameron Street by used for a recreation complex 3. Suggests the use of Biotechnical septic systems for sewage treatment 	<p>The alternate plan for the future of Cannington should be brought forward for discussion at the next OP review.</p> <p>There is a proposed policy in the OP that would allow for Council to consider a suitable location for a central recreation complex. The MOE and the Region are responsible for the approval of sewage treatment systems.</p>
23	Nov. 29 /05	Don Shearer	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Suggests the extension of Cannington to the eastern artery of Simcoe St. to raise the visibility and attraction of business and people to Cannington. 2. This area is serviced with street lights, natural gas and water 3. Show that Cannington is open for business and be visibly progressive with expansion to Simcoe Street. 	These suggestions for the future development of Cannington should be brought forward for discussion at the next OP review.
24	Nov. 30 /05	H. Goldie	A summary of the presentation to Steering Committee re Just Imagine Plan – see Submission 22	Refer to Submission 22
25	Dec. 12 /05	Don Shearer	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposes future development of the eastern end of Cameron Street – is the eastern entrance to Cannington 2.2. Continued lack of growth & absence of visibility will leave Cannington in suspended animation 3. A corridor of development to the town line would draw traffic into town and show potential entrepreneurs and residents that this would be a good place to locate future business and homes 	These suggestions for the future development of Cannington should be brought forward for discussion at the next OP review.
26	Dec. 9. / 05	Arthur Barkey	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Indicates sustained keen interest in developing housing on North ½ of Lot 20, Concession 12 2. It is a viable location option 	These suggestions for the future development of Cannington should be brought forward for discussion at the next

			for the reallocation of existing residential designation from those areas which can no longer be developed due to lack of compliance with existing flood plain requirements.	OP review.
27	Dec 12 / 05	Herbert Goldie Kathleen Umemoto	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Properties of Maureen Johnston and Herb Goldie should be in the limits of the Cannington Urban Area 2. Existing Employment lands in Cannington should be redesignated Residential - current owners could be requested to donate lands needed for the Cannington Central park and Cannington Forest 3. Well Head should be protected, open space expanded, with ski and walking trails on municipal land 4. Future long term growth area should be to the east with a mixture of Employment and Residential lands 5. Provides a vision for Cannington and identifies a number of issues that the OP should address 	<p>Any extension to the urban boundary should be considered at the next OP review.</p> <p>Policies in the OP encourage existing owners of Employment Lands to discuss alternate land uses with the Township.</p> <p>Well head areas are protected by policy and are recognized on the maps. Future development of an expanded Cannington Central Park needs to be considered in light of residents' needs.</p> <p>Area has been expanded on Map 2A.</p>
28	Dec 5 / 05	Kawartha Conservation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. wonders if there will be conformity between the Durham OP and the Brock OP. 2. Due to new regulations coming into effect in 2006, the KRCA Fill Regulated area boundary will be wider than currently exists. 3. The provisions re prohibition of animated street signs may not be practical in the future as it is anticipated that digital animated signs are likely to increase in use possibly at the expense of regular signs. 4. Future expansion of residential areas will be dependent upon the capacity of municipal sewage systems to accommodate growth. 5. Section 7.3.2.1 should explicitly refer to conservation authorities. 6. Section 7.3.2.1 should make specific reference to an agreed Terms of Reference between 	<p>Durham Region has been actively involved in the preparation of the OP as the Brock OP is required to be in conformity with the Region's OP.</p> <p>Any revisions to the KRCA Fill Regulated Lines will be accommodated in the Region's OP as that OP provides for the rural areas.</p> <p>Signage is a Township responsibility.</p> <p>The Township is encouraging the Region to address the servicing constraints.</p> <p>Section 7.3.2.1. will be revised to specifically mention the respective conservation authority, and the agreed upon Terms of Reference.</p>

			<p>the proponent and the Regulatory Agency</p> <p>7. Section 7.3.2.3 (f), (h) (i) it may be useful to incorporate a statement to include costs where feasible</p> <p>8. Supports 8.3.4 and asks if this can be extended to registered plans of subdivision</p>	<p>It is not recommended that 7.3.2.3 be revised to requests that costs be included.</p> <p>Section 8.3.4 only applies to plans of subdivision/ condominium that have received draft plan approval. Once a plan is registered, the conditions of approval cannot be changed.</p>
29	Dec. 12 / 05	Towship of Ramara	Supports the draft Official Plan as it relates to settlement areas that border Ramara township and the relevant policies.	No action requested
30	Sept. 12 / 05	Richard Yerema	Increase in vandalism due to poor planning – new housing in Sunderland should have corresponding plan for economic, recreational, cultural and educational opportunities	The official plan focuses on the development of strong, complete and balanced communities. Section 4.9 addresses the design of our communities.
31	Dec. 14 / 05	Herb Goldie	<p>Summarizes Goldie Plan –</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Could proceed to redesignate the lands Employment to Residential and consider using private communal sewage systems 2. Rectifying sewage problems should be a priority at the Region 3. 396 & 345 Cameron Street East should both be considered within the Urban limits 4. A new unified recreation complex may be the answer to aging facilities 5. Consider a number of concessions/land exchanges 6. Under the Greenbelt Plan the need for a new recreation complex and the protection of well head could be considered as major unforeseen circumstances 	<p>The draft OP encourages land owners within the Employment Areas to consult with the Township regarding alternative designations.</p> <p>A policy is included in the OP aimed at achieving a higher priority at the Region to address the Township's servicing constraints.</p> <p>A policy is included that would allow a central recreation complex to be considered in a suitable location.</p>
32	Dec. 19/05	Herb Goldie	<p>Cannington – North Employment lands have services available, are not attractive to business, not visible and will not have great access</p> <p>South Employment lands does have a subdivision layout and</p>	<p>It is agreed that there are issues with regard to the visibility and access of the existing Employment lands in Cannington.</p> <p>Numerous issues need to be considered prior</p>

			<p>could be rezoned and possibly developed through tradition septic systems or private communal sewage systems</p> <p>396 & 345 Cameron Street East should be eligible for development</p> <p>Hockey arena needs to be replaced and curling rink adds extra expenses – new unified recreation complex could be answer</p> <p>Greenbelt Plan does not indicate that properties cannot be exchanged to meet community needs – suggests a number of land exchanges – 1- to provide protection for well head, 2 - small residential development for community facilities 3 – land for recreation facilities 4 – for Cannington Recreation Complex 5 – land for medical centre/seniors centre 6 – rural lands to replace reassigned lands.</p> <p>Need to create a new recreation complex and protect well heads – major unforeseen circumstances under Greenbelt – need to request Ministry to consider the Goldie Plan to improve Cannington.</p>	<p>to any redesignation – including availability of sewage and water capacity.</p> <p>A policy is included that would allow a central recreation complex to be considered in a suitable location. The Greenbelt Plan allows for such facilities to locate outside of Urban Areas. The proposed Durham Region Official Plan also allows such a consideration.</p> <p>Discussions with the Ministry have confirmed that land exchanges are not contemplated under the provisions of the Greenbelt Plan.</p> <p>Properties 396 and 345 Cameron Street East are not located in the Cannington Urban Area.</p>
33	Jan. 2/06	Weston Consulting Group on behalf of Brouwer Sod Farms Ltd.	<p>Beaverton – 31.5 ha south of Beaver River in Urban Area & 20.2 ha north of Beaver River</p> <p>Endorses Employment Areas designation on north parcel & offers support in relation to Greenbelt Plan</p> <p>Requests that maximum density of 20 units per net hectare be revised to 20 units per gross hectare.</p>	<p>Agreed that the density of 20 units per net hectare is too restrictive and that 20 units per gross hectare allows more flexibility is dealing with development proposals. Section 5.2.3.4 has been revised accordingly.</p>
34	Dec. 16/05	Township of Scugog	<p>No issues of cross-municipal jurisdiction and no conflicts or potential conflicts between the policies in the proposed Brock Op and Scugog.</p> <p>Notes that Scugog will be monitoring the approach taken regarding hamlets.</p> <p>Supports the initiative in completing the Official Plan and in addressing the future growth</p>	<p>No revisions requested.</p>

			of the settlement areas within the Greenbelt Plan. No objection to the draft Official Plan.	
35	Dec. 22 /05	Herb Goldie Kathleen Umemoto	Cannington – concentrate on eastern entrance to improve visibility and accessibility If Sunderland grows then Cannington will have no further development By limiting the sewage system – limit growth and opportunities in Cannington Have a more balanced growth in Cannington & Sunderland.	An environmental assessment must be conducted by the Region to determine capacity of the Beaver River to accommodate additional growth. This study will have to consider growth in both Sunderland and Cannington. All three designated Urban Areas should be allowed to develop to provide for balanced growth in the Township.
36	Jan. 9 / 06	Herb Goldie	Cannington Plan Do not take attitude that Greenbelt will not permit changes – have option to request amendment – summarized 5 motions for consideration	In accordance with Committee direction on June 5, 2006, the eastern limits of the Cannington Urban Area have been extended to include the area formerly within the Village of Cannington.
37	Jan. 17 / 06	Region of Durham	PPS & Greenbelt Conformity 1. Delete Blackwater as a Hamlet 2. Section 3.2.1.7 should be amended so that severances for surplus dwellings will only be permitted for abutting properties. 3. Urban area expansions in Beaverton and Cannington cannot be approved as they extend into the Natural Heritage System. 4. 3.2.1.5. should be amended to allow for secondary uses on prime agricultural lands. Regional Official Plan 1. Central Area approach should be revised to reflect Region’s proposed approach to Centres and Corridors. 2. 5.2.3.14 d) and 5.2.3.17 d) should be revised to appropriately reference the Works and Health Departments	Agree to delete hamlet of Blackwater Section 3.2.1.7 will remain applying to all lands resulting from farm consolidations Urban area expansion in Cannington has been deleted and the boundary of the Beaverton urban area expansion is under review 3.2.1.5 has been revised to permit secondary uses. Region’s approach to Centres and Corridors have been incorporated. 5.2.3.14 d) and 5.2.3.17 d) have been revised as requested. Well head protection policies have been

			<p>3. Wellhead protection policies should be consistent with proposed Regional OP</p> <p>4. Distinguish between unconfined and confined aquifers</p> <p>5. Proposed boundary expansions are not consistent with the Region's analysis and further discussions will be held with the Township</p> <p>Additional editorial comments included in chart attached to letter</p>	<p>revised to ensure consistency and to include a reference to Tables E5 and E6. It is anticipated that aquifer information will apply to rural areas and not settlement areas and therefore will be adequately covered in the regional official plan. Suggested edits incorporated as appropriate</p>
38	Jan. 18 /06	AIM PowerGen	Amend 3.2.1.5 so that turbines are not prohibited in prime agricultural areas	Agree to requested amendment as it is consistent with Greenbelt Plan and the Region.
39	Jan. 24/06	Herb Goldie	<p>Summarizes process to date</p> <p>Provides 6 motions for consideration</p> <p>If motions are not considered, the Committee will kill all hope for Cannington's future development</p>	The redesignating existing Employment Lands to other designations must be considered in relation to servicing, land ownership and future Employment Lands.
40	Jan. 25/06	Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority	<p>Pleased that Township has recognized the importance of the natural system and landscape to the health of the community in the various strategies and policies</p> <p>Pg. 3 Para 4 suggest minor wording change</p> <p>1.4 – add section referring to PPS and Greenbelt Plan</p> <p>2.2.3.2 – clarification required</p> <p>3.2.1.6 –amend to clearly indicate that any assessment of development in the rural area will need to include an assessment of the impact on natural features</p> <p>3.2.2.3 – Impact of commercial wind farms on environmental features and natural system corridors, particularly bird use, movement and migration should be a consideration</p> <p>4.5.1c – editorial revisions</p> <p>4.5.2.b – editorial revisions</p> <p>4.5.2.e – editorial revisions</p> <p>4.10.3 – add sentence re impact on the environment</p>	<p>Pg.3 Para 4 – Agree</p> <p>1.4 – No need already referenced in previous section</p> <p>2.2.3.2 – Agree, add within the Settlement Areas</p> <p>3.2.1.6 – Agree to add bullet addressing impact on natural features</p> <p>3.2.2.3 – Agree to add a bullet requiring a review of impact on environmental features and natural system corridors.</p> <p>4.5.1.c – agree</p> <p>4.5.2.b – agree</p> <p>4.5.2.e – agree</p> <p>4.10.3 – agree to add sentence re impact on natural features and functions</p> <p>5.5.4.1 No revision as this is a special policy brought forward from the existing OP</p>

			<p>5.5.4.1 - editorial revisions 5.6.2.1 – editorial revisions 5.6.2.3 – editorial revisions 5.6.2.9 – questions relevancy of this section 5.6.2.10 – suggests rewrite re natural hazards to clarify policy 6.4 – Suggests that Township should be satisfied that only agricultural type uses will be permitted within well head protection areas. 7.3.1 a) – add watercourses and ditches to capture potential fish habitat 7.3.1.b) – editorial revision 7.3.1.c) – editorial and add Flood Plain and Erosion Hazard Study to list delete designations from Regional Centres maps requires additional information to justify the Beaver Avenue Expansion Suggests certain mapping revisions to Beaverton and Cannington Employment lands in Sunderland should be reduced and redesignated Open Space Questions the use of the Hamlet and Shoreline maps</p>	<p>5.6.2.1 – sentence will be deleted 5.6.2.3 – agree 5.6.2.9 – agree to delete section 5.6.2.10 – agree 6.4 – no revision required – well head protection areas impact residential and employment lands as well as agricultural 7.3.1a) - agree to add watercourses 7.3.1.b) – agree 7.3.1.c) – agree Agree to revise Regional Centre maps as suggested Mapping revisions will be incorporated as suggested Hamlet maps are to be removed from the OP</p>
41 .	Jan. 26 / 06	Amina Miller & Gary Renaud	<p>Sunderland – first proposal was for a golf course – density was reasonable Density of revised proposal not reasonable Against overwhelming the community and changing its nature overnight Take the opportunity to develop a vision for the town - consider a mix of housing types on larger lots with adequate green areas and build in the recreational and social resources to support the extra population</p>	<p>Some policies have been included in the official plan to assist Council in addressing new residential development in the Township.</p>
42 .	Feb. 3. / 06	Durham Region Works Department	<p>Requests consideration for a wind turbine for back-up power in Beaverton.</p>	<p>Wind turbines more appropriately located within Rural/Agricultural Areas.</p>
43 .	April 24 /06	Twsp. Of Uxbridge	<p>Requesting notification of future decisions on OP.</p>	<p>Agree</p>
44 .	April 28 / 06	Bousefileds Inc.	<p>Pleased with the inclusion of their lands within the expanded</p>	<p>Amount of Open Space to be</p>

			Beaverton urban area; Expresses concern that ½ of their lands are within the Open Space designation – appears contrary to previous LSRCA position; also expresses concern with the Greenbelt Plan’s designation as Natural Heritage System; Requests additional “commercial” uses abutting Highway 12	determined through additional studies. The addition of commercial uses to be subject of further discussions.
45 .	May 9, 2006	Ministry of Municipal Affairs	Requests notification of the OP adoption.	Agree
46 .	May 8, 2006.	Donald & Paul Grills	Requests to retain the existing DROP designation that permits residential development on private services on a portion of their lands in Lots 19 and 20 Concession 11, in the southern portion of the Cannington Urban Area	Included in Urban Area in accordance with Committee direction on June 5, 2006.
47 .	May 24, 2006	George Lloyd	Request consideration of land to be included in Port Bolster hamlet development plan once completed.	Agree
48 .	May 23, 2006	Regional Works	Expresses concern with policy which requires municipal wells to be located outside of urban areas.	Due to the limited amount of developable lands in the existing urban areas, policy requiring wells to be located outside of the urban areas to remain.
49 .	May 26, 2006	Donald & Paul Grills	Requests inclusion of 50 acres north of Beaver River into Cannington urban area.	Included in the Urban Area in accordance with Committee direction on June 5, 2006.
50 .	May 28, 2006	Cameron Ross	Requests inclusion of lands within Part Lot 12, Concession 6 into Future Employment/Residential Development Area as part of Beaverton urban area.	Included in the Official Plan as requested in accordance with Committee direction on June 5, 2006.
51 .	May 26, 2006	Elizabeth Noor	Requests lands within Part Lot 13, Concession 6 (Thorah) to be redesignated from open space to residential.	Provided a Residential designation in accordance with Committee direction on June 5, 2006.
52 .	June 1, 2006	Kevin P. Mara on behalf of Dale McFeeters	Requests North ½ of Lot 21 and 22, Concession 11 (Brock) to be included within urban area for residential purposes. Requests lands designated employment area in Lot 20,	Eastern boundary extended to include the lands of the former Village of Cannington prior to 1974 in accordance with

			Concession 11 (Brock) to be redesignated for residential purposes and extended southerly to Concession 11.	Committee direction on June 5, 2006.
53 .	June 2, 2006	Weston Consulting Group	Supports OP as it pertains to their client's lands (Beaver Avenue) and requests notification of adoption.	Agree
54 .	June 1, 2006	LSRCA	Requests revisions to following sections: 4.5.2e) edit 4.11.3 – add additional reference to Greenbelt Plan 4.5.4 re existing development in floodplain areas 7.3.2 – scoping EIA with CA's 7.3.4.5 – edit 5.5.3.9 Beaver Avenue Area – add need for hydrogeological study Map 2-A – designate area Open Space Map 4C – delete shoreline residential in flood plain	Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree Agree No change is suggested as Map 4C indicates the Settlement Area boundary as designated in the DROP.
55 .	June 5, 2006	Bousefields Inc.	Reaffirms comments of April 28 (Submission 44) and requests notice of adoption.	See comments re Submission 44.

June 6, 2006