

# **Township of Brock Council Information Index**

# August 24 – August 28, 2020

Comm. No.	Received from – Description
1085/20	AMO Communications – AMO Conference Update: Thank you for attending AMC 2020 Conference wrap-up video
1086/20	Business Advisory Centre Durham – 3 programs to transform your business online
1087/20	Mike Manchester – Supportive Housing Project in Beaverton
1088/20	Ryan Lloyd – Beaverton Housing the "unsheltered"
1089/20	Doreen Belanger - New Beaverton Social Housing
1090/20	Colleen McKenna – 133 Main Street Housing Project
1091/20	Peter Bornemisa – Region of Durham Housing Proposal
1092/20	Roderick Besaw – Supportive Housing
1093/20	Sandy Hutchings – Supportive Housing in Beaverton
1101/20	Nicola Speakman – Supportive Housing
1102/20	Town of Fort Erie – Support for City of Elliot Lake – Private Members Bill M-36 to Declare August 1 as "Emancipation Day" in Canada
1103/20	AMO Communications – AMO Policy Update – Orders Extended, New Direction regarding Facilities
1105/20	Paul Nelson – Lakeview Manor/Gillespie Gardens Social Housing Site
1109/20	Nicola Speakman – Supportive Housing (2 <sup>nd</sup> email)
1114/20	AMO Communications – AMO WatchFile: August 27, 2020 – COVID-19 Resources, Input into guidance on protecting drinking water sources, Everything you need to know about Land Use Planning, and more
1115/20	Township of Huron-Kinloss – Support for Emancipation Day Resolution

From: Sent:

Subject:

To:

Date: 25/08/2020

Refer to: Not Applicable

Meeting Date: Action: null

Notes: CII

Copies to:

AMO Events <events@amo.on.ca> August 22, 2020 7:01 AM

Becky Jamieson

AMO Conference Update: Thank you for Attending AMO 2020 Conference Wrap-up

Video

AMO Update not displaying correctly? <u>View the online version</u>
Add Communicate@amo.on.ca to your safe list



# **AMO Conference Update:**

# Thank you for Attending AMO 2020 Conference Wrapup Video

Thank you for participating in AMO 2020 and helping to make AMO's first ever virtual conference a success. You had confidence that AMO could bring all orders of government together, 5 months into a global pandemic, to share experiences and lessons learned, and to have important conversations about public policy, economic recovery and social change.

Thank you to more than 1,500 participants, 46 exhibitors and 22 sponsors. Thanks to our amazing speakers and presenters. Thank you to our government partners for your enthusiastic support and collaboration – including 481 delegation meetings with Cabinet Ministers and Parliamentary Assistants. Thanks to all the provincial party leaders.


Thank you voting delegates for making on-line voting a success with 66% of eligible electors voting for the next AMO Board. Thanks to our technology, production and communications partners who made the conference possible. Thank you TVO for your partnership in the conference and for igniting potential through the power of learning for 50 years.

Thank you all for supporting AMO and the work we do on behalf of communities large and small, in every part of Ontario.

Don't forget you can <u>log-in</u> to the AMO 2020 conference site for 30 days following the conference to have another look, to check out any session you missed, and to review outstanding on-demand features and videos.

Please take a moment to view this conference <u>wrap-up video</u>, prepared by TVO, that captures the highlights of three days of AMO 2020.

\*Disclaimer: The Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) is unable to provide any warranty regarding the accuracy or completeness of third-party submissions. Distribution of these items does not imply an endorsement of the views, information or services mentioned.



Association of Municipalities of Ontario 200 University Ave. Suite 801,Toronto ON Canada M5H 3C6

Wish to Adjust your AMO Communication Preferences ? Click Here



From: Sent: To:

Subject:

Date:	25/08/2020
Refer to:	Not Applicable
Meeting Date:	
Action:	null
Notes:	CII
Copies to:	

Business Advisory Centre Durham (BACD Inc) <cli>clientservices@bacd.ca> August 24, 2020 12:30 PM Becky Jamieson

3 programs to transform your business online!



# **Business Advisory Centre Durham**

3000 Garden Street, Suite 200. Whitby ON, L1R 2G6 905-668-4949 | bacd.ca | clientservices@bacd.ca

SERVICES | PROGRAMS | RESOURCES | EVENTS | ABOUT | FAQ

# BACD August 24, 2020

BACD's physical location is currently closed until further notice, however we are still open virtually weekdays 8:30am - 4:30pm

COVID-19 Resources and Toolbox: Invest Durham and BACD Biz Toolbox



# 3 programs to transform your business online!

Listed below are 3 new digital transformation programs to help small businesses to get online during COVID-19. Businesses will have access to a **Digital Main Street Squad** who will review your needs and the tools required to expand your web/digital presence so your business can relaunch, reopen and recover!

# Meet Durham's Digital Main Street Squad



Antoinette Burrell
Project Lead
READ MORE



Orzala Quddasi Project Lead READ MORE



Jamle Nowe Senior Service Squad Member

READ MORE



Ferene Navarra Small Business Digital Service Support Member

READ MORE



Amin Rahim Digital Services Support Specialist

READ MORE

**ShopHERE** provides INDEPENDENT SMALL BUSINESSES and ARTISTS with a quick, easy and no-cost way to get selling online right away by providing free help to create an ecommerce website on Shopify.



<u>Digital Main Street</u> For BIA/CBD (mainstreet) businesses who have a commercial space. Free Digital Services Squad to help you with expanding your web/digital presence,



training and a grant opportunity of \$2,500 to use towards implementing their Digital Transformation Plan (DTP). Grant deadline is October 31, 2020. Digital Services continue to Feb 2021.

# Digital Durham Starter Company Plus Program

Businesses who are NOT mainstreet and don't qualify for the above programs, could qualify for BACD's Program. Funded in part by the Region of Durham and Ministry of Ontario, businesses will have access to a free Digital Services Squad to help with expanding of their web/digital presence, training, mentoring and the chance to apply for a grant opportunity of \$2,500 for their Digital Transformation Plan.





# Freelancer/Subcontractor Opportunity with BACD

We are looking for professionals and students who are passionate about supporting small businesses to overcome the challenges of breaking into the digital marketplace and succeed in the "new normal" of retail. Visit the Scope of Work for more information



# COVID-19 Related News

News Release August 20, 2020

Government of Canada announces plan to help support Canadians through the Next Phase of the Recovery

# The Canada Emergency Wage Subsidy Online Calculator

The Canada Emergency Wage Subsidy (CEWS) helps employers who have been impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic to keep workers on their payroll or bring back previously laid-off employees.



#### **CANADA UNITED Weekend August 28-30**

Canada United is a movement to show local businesses some love. Join us August 28-30 to shop or dine local anywhere in the country to help small businesses recover and kickstart our economy. Follow #CanadaUnited on Facebook and Instagram and show your support!

# Survey: Economic impacts of COVID-19

Ontario Government wants to hear about the economic impact of COVID-19 is having on your business. Closing date: August 31, 2020 Complete survey now

# BACD Women's Entrepreneurship Program



# Women's Entrepreneurship Program

Offering women a virtual coaching & mentoring program to help start a new business, plus access to apply for a \$2,000 microloan. APPLY NOW

# Partner Programs & Funding



# R3 - REBUILD, REOPEN, REVIVE

Program for women-led businesses such as small retail, sole proprietor and personal service industry to support the reopening, rebuilding and revival of the business.

<u>Click here to details about the program</u>

# RECOVERY ACTIVATION PROGRAM (RAP)

This immersive online workshop program connects businesses with industry experts for guidance, mentorship and practical advice about process modernization and end-to-end digital transformation. It is completely free for businesses and supports firms of any size across Ontario. This program is part of the Toronto Region Board of Trade's Reimagining Recovery Framework.



# Check out BACD's YouTube Channel

# BACD BOARD MEMBER PROFILE


#### Shannon O'Connor

In this episode, Teresa Shaver from the Business Advisory Centre Durham talks to Shannon O'Connor, a former IBM Executive and a Professor at Durham College.

In this interview, Shannon talks about her experience working in the finance and technology field, the importance of business and customer relations, and more. Watch now



# This week's **BACD** Business Events





Tue, Aug 25, 2020 9:30 AM EST BACD Business Plan Masterclass

Tickets

Wed, Aug 26, 2020 9:30 AM EST The Power of G Suite Apps for Business

Tickets



Thu, Aug 27, 2020 9:30 AM EST BACD Business Fundamentals: Financials and Operations

Tickets



Fri, Aug 28, 2020 9:30 AM EST Email Marketing: A No Brainer for Small Business

Tickets

# **BACD PARTNER WEBINARS**

August 26 | 2pm

The Money Masterclass: Online Courses To Increasing Your Business Offering

BACD has partnered with Fempire Builders for a FREE webinar series to help entrepreneurs learn how to expand their business offerings through the power of online courses. These webinars are open to all entrepreneurs. Register Now



August 27 | 1 - 2pm

Building Digital Capacity and Business Resilience during COVID-19

Join Chris Foster, Vice-President, Information Services and Chief Information Officer to discuss building digital capacity and business resiliency during the COVID-19 pandemic. Presented by Canadian Chamber of Commerce.

August 27 | 6 - 8:30pm <u>Startup Grind with Erin Bury Co-Founder</u> and CEO of Willful

Startup Grind sits down with Erin Bury, cofounder and CEO of Willful — an online estate planning platform that makes it easy for Canadians to create a will in less than 20 minutes. Erin will take us behind her journey with Willful and provide insight for adopting digital strategies in today's age of marketing. Presented by Spark Centre.





**Register Now** 

# The Maker's Collective Side Hustle Hub and Side Hustle 101

The Maker's Collective has built a grassroots community, an ecosystem of experts and a system to take your side hustle from idea to action.

# THE SIDE HUSTLE HUB

A MONTHLY MEMBERSHIP COMMUNITY WITH TRAINING, RESOURCES & ACCOUNTABILITY TO TAKE YOUR SIDE HUSTLE TO THE NEXT LEVEL.

Join the **Side Hustle Hub**'s membership community to access resources and tools and a monthly online training session to build the skills you need to take your side hustle to the next level.

SIGN UP NOW Begins September 1st

The Makers Collective

# SIDE HUSTLE 101

A 12 WEEK ONLINE COURSE TO BRING YOUR SIDE HUSTLE TO LIFE, GROW YOUR PORTFOLIO OF WORK & TRANSFORM YOUR CAREER PATH.

**Side Hustle 101** is the pathway start and grow your thriving side hustle.

SIGN UP NOW Begins September 8th



In 2020, Teeny Tiny Summits will be moving to a virtual format featuring a series of three webinars. These webinars virtual Teeny Tiny webinars will shine a light on creative ideas and people in small communities that have made the most of the past few months.

September 23 | 10:30am - 12pm

Teeny Tiny Summit Virtual Session: Creatively Bringing Community Together

# ON DEMAND - WATCH AT YOUR OWN PACE:

<u>Digital Path to Reopening the Workplace</u>: Toronto Board of Trade

<u>Digitally Balancing Operations and Customer Experience</u>: Toronto Board of Trade

<u>Profit from Technology</u>: BDC

How to restart your business in the wake of COVID-19: BDC Brand Building and Social Communication in a Time of Crisis: Hootsuite SME Webinar Series: Managing Your Finances During a Crisis

Thank you to our 2020 Sponsor



To learn about their services, visit their website: www.awccu.com

Visit the BACD website















# Subscribe to our Step Up Your Business Podcast Series

The weekly podcast offers relevant business content, events & workshops, tips, resources, interviews with experts, local businesses and BACD clients. Available on all major podcasting platforms including Apple, Google, Spotify & Breaker.

# Subscribe to our YouTube Channel



# Feel free to share this newsletter with your network!



Share



Tweet



Share

Business Advisory Centre Durham Inc. | 3000 Garden Street, Suite 200, Whitby, Ontario L1R 2G6 Canada

> Unsubscribe bmurray@townshipofbrock.ca Update Profile | About our service provider Sent by clientservices@bacd.ca powered by



25/08/2020

Not Applicable

null

CII

Date:

Refer to:

Notes:

Copies to

Meeting Date
Action:

# **Lesley Donnelly**

Subject:

FW: Supportive Housing Project in Beaverton

From: noreply@townshipofbrock.ca <noreply@townshipofbrock.ca> on behalf of Mike Manchester

Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020, 11:10 a.m.

To: Michael Jubb

Subject: Re: Supportive Housing Project in Beaverton

Re: Region's supportive housing project in Beaverton 'Proposed facility would become Durham Regions own CAMH' Why in Beaverton?

- No 'Public Transit' to speak of...
- No 'Job's' or employment opportunities to speak of
- No 'Mental Health Resource's' locally to speak of
- Lakeview Manor has been & continues to be lacking in its own resources let alone earmarked as a support 'raparound' resource.
- Proposed facility would be less than a kilometer from public parks, playgrounds, harbour & children's splashpad.
- Will become a 'magnet' for hundred's of patients.. mostly from the south
- No logical reason other than 'low property value expenditures' to the Region in relation to south Durham property values.

CAMH: Recent Public Safety Record

- Wednesday, July 31, 2019 7:39PM EDT: Public safety warning after another patient goes missing from CAMH
- Man who fled country after disappearing from CAMH was 'threat to public safety': review board
- CAMH to conduct external review after at least two patients go missing
- · Reviews launched after Ford questions how 'nutcase' disappeared from CAMH
- Man charged after walking away from mental health facility, allegedly robbing two stores
- Residents in the Queen West area are sounding the alarm after several patients with criminal backgrounds have gone missing from the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH).

The statement: "Its a no-brainer" was absolutely correct... but not in the context it was made.

M&S Manchester Beaverton	
Origin: https://www.townshipofbrock.ca/Modules/contact,	/search.aspx?s=QLiZcbJLWC7blSQjPlUsFHxQweQuAleQuAl
This email was sent to you by Mike Mancheste	through <a href="https://www.townshipofbrock.ca">https://www.townshipofbrock.ca</a> .

Subject:

FW: Beaverton Housing the "unsheltered"

25/08/2020 Refer to Not Applicable Meeting Date Copies to

From: Ryan Lloyd

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 9:56:59 PM

To: Debbie Bath-Hadden <a href="mailto:dbathhadden@townshipofbrock.ca">dbathhadden@townshipofbrock.ca</a>; Ted Smith <a href="mailto:dsmith@townshipofbrock.ca">dsmith@townshipofbrock.ca</a>; Michael Jubb

- <mjubb@townshipofbrock.ca>; Claire Doble <cdoble@townshipofbrock.ca>; Walter Schummer
- <wschummer@townshipofbrock.ca>; Cria Pettingill <cpettingill@townshipofbrock.ca>; Lynn Campbell
- <lcampbell@townshipofbrock.ca>

Subject: Beaverton Housing the "unsheltered"

Debbie & Ted,

Over the last few days I've read the Region's announcement for a new type of housing to be put at the Lakeview Manor/Gillespie Garden's site. I do understand that Regional Council is coming from the point of compassion in trying to do the right thing. I'm baffled and possibly appalled that the Regions Planning and Housing Departments support this. You can look at cases right across North American in many cities and communities and easily find where this project is doomed to fail. Take Toronto's "Regent Park". While times are different and the scale of the 2 projects are not even comparable, in the end, the failing principles will be the same.

Regent Park was built and designed with no commercial/retail. They did and are now slowing addressing this decades later, why? Because residents need to not only shop but need to find jobs; entry level jobs generally in service, retail and industry. Where will these individuals shop for affordable food and clothing? Where are they meant to look for employment? Not close by, not in Beaverton. The Brock Foodbank in 2019 (presentation to Beaverton Lions Club) had already predicted a financial shortfall for 2020 as they have seen an increased need (and this was before COVID). Is the Region going to support this? Or will this again fall onto the shoulders of our community groups?

Another Failure of Regent Park was the "isolation" of the project. The Garden City approach of Regent Park seemed to exclude the residents from their city and former community. I'm guessing many of these new residents will not becoming from Brock or possibly not even North Durham. Will that be a shock for many of them? Taking them out of their current bigger community with more amenities - I'm willing to bet it will be a shock. They will be isolated.

Another failure was the city designed the project for the "Cabbagetown" community (the poor) but intended for mixed income. In the end I believe only about 20 % of local residents were ever accepted into the project leaving the local community in discontent, segregating the project even further. And while I hate to use the term, because they are people and they are people that need homes and support - it became a "dumping ground" for the entire city and was never mixed income.

I assume, as I have, you have also heard some of the colourful stories of Brock's newest public housing. Again we have local residents that cannot get into Beaverton or Cannington's newest housing. Housing that the community thought was for them. On any given day Beaverton now has one or 2 people begging for money outside one of the banks. Apparently in Cannington some new residents prefer to shop in a towel or nothing at all.

I get it - there are people out there with real problems and need help and assistance but this isn't a good plan. Since amalgamation, Brock has been haphazardly moving along with no plan and only politically motivated. In the 90s Brock said no to doubling the size of FlexNGate, our largest manufacturer at the time, so no new jobs. In the Late 90s Durham and Brock began allowing McDonalds, Loblaws, & Tim Hortons to move out of the urban boundary (another textbook No No) and now we're dumbfounded why Beaverton's downtown core is struggling (textbook). And there is more highway development to come. Beaverton's downtown is struggling and there are no opportunities - let's bring in the homeless and the poor? Maybe that sounds harsh but that's poor planning and take a look at other communities across the province when urban areas start to sprawl and what happens to the downtown, like maybe Oshawa for example?

Brock Township has been asked to shelter the homeless of Durham and yet it seemingly continues that Durham won't invest into the infrastructure of Brock and provide better services and places for people to work locally. So nowhere to work, no where to shop, no connection to the community. There is talk about about social services and professional help but will it be full time? Onsite? Or will this be another part time, temporary commitment (office hours) from the region that will fade away out of Brock.

There is the possibility that the Brock Community Health Centre could help but it is set to be built in Cannington and why? because it's the geographic centre? makes sense? I'm guessing 60% or more of the Brock population live in Beaverton and Thorah Township. That is likely why Durham built the biggest water and waste water plants in Beaverton. It is seemingly the place to invest infrastructure. That is why there is room for more public housing but we don't have the services to support it.

With all due respect, I think Brock (Beaverton) is being swindled into a failed project. It will look good on the Regional Councillors in the south and they can pat each other on the back and clean their streets but will have lasting long term impacts on our small and growing community. This project urgently needs to be reconsidered.

Ryan Lloyd

Beaverton

Not Applicable

CII

25/08/2020

Date

Refer to

Notes

Copies to:

Meeting Date Action:

# **Lesley Donnelly**

Subject:

FW: New Byth Social Housing

From: Doreen Belanger

Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020, 11:36 a.m.

To: Paul H. Nelson

Cc: JANIS NELSON; Kristine Peticca; Eugenebelanger; Michael Jubb; Ted Smith

Subject: Re: New Byth Social Housing

Paul,

I just got around to reading the e-mail you sent a few days ago.

Thanks for the information.

Just a few things that immediately come to mind, after just seeing that there was a triple stabbing outside the Roehampton Homeless facility in Toronto! The crime, drugs, etc. In that area now has increased and we do not need that here in Beaverton.

I do understand that homelessness is a huge, growing problem, however....

These people are not upstanding citizens that have just fallen on hard times for the most part.

My concerns in point form

- putting this type of facility right beside a nursing home for vulnerable seniors (Lakeview Manor)
- the facility located beside a senior living complex (Gillespie Garden)
- all the bungalows in that area are mostly retired people who probably moved to this area to get away from stress
- proximity to Edengrove Senior living
- Beaverton has a very large population of retired residents
- we already have a methadone clinic....right on Main Street!!
- I know for a fact 1 or 2 businesses that have left Main Street Beaverton because of that clinic and the clientele it attracts
- there are no job opportunities in the area
- there is no transportation in the town other than Go bus

I feel this would have a HUGE negative impact on the growth of a town already struggling to keep small businesses, etc. If it, in fact, is a transition facility, it would not be well served in Beaverton. Beaverton does not need this. Beaverton needs positive, progressive investment to ensure the town moves in the right direction.

Just my thoughts.

Cheers

Doreen

P.S. I did not have Debbie Bath-Haden's e-mail

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 22, 2020, at 12:25 PM, Paul H. Nelson

Info related to yesterday's dock discussion about new social housing units on Regional Lakeview Manor/Gillespie Gardens property at link below..

The Region DOES have the right to proceed, but should listen carefully to public input. This type of housing MUST have adequate in-house support services, with mental health specialists as my top concern. And will certainly NOT help house values in the immediate GG area.

# Page 14 of 30

Fifty or more struggling people with specialized needs os a lot to drop into any small community like Bvtn !

 $\underline{\text{https://thebrockvoice.com/2020/08/20/township-opposing-regions-supportive-housing-project-in-beaverton/}$ 

Not Applicable

CII

Refer to:

Meeting Dat Action:

# **Lesley Donnelly**

From: colleen pocock

Subject:

FW: 133 Main Street Housing Project

a

Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 12:17:53 PM

To: Debbie Bath-Hadden <a href="mailto:dbathhadden@townshipofbrock.ca">dbathhadden@townshipofbrock.ca</a>; Council@townshipofbrock.ca

Subject: 133 Main Street Housing Project

Dear Madam Mayor,

While we support the provincial investment and goals for this type of housing and We understand it will reduce the cost and demand for services for the target population - We'd like to understand HOW Beaverton became the chosen location and why our council was apparently unaware of that initial planning.

The following below are some collected statements of concern from residents Cedarhurst Beach, Cedar Beach and Eden Grove.

- Needs: What is the real need for this housing in Beaverton? Is there an intent to relocate disenfranchised individuals here? (Somehow Beaverton was designated as a priority location...)
- Support Services: We have limited local social, health, education, employment, policing and transportation services in Beaverton. What are the plans and defined funding to enhance these services?
- Location: A similar residence in Lindsay has had recurring issues with theft, drugs, brawls, guns, property destruction, etc. Why put that risk so close to a seniors residence, and in a waterfront neighbourhood that has million dollar homes? Would it be better to locate these homes near the new health care center in Cannington?
- Long term: What is the long term plan for funding, maintenance and services? What direct and indirect costs will fall to Brock taxation base?

"Wrong location and could be extremely detrimental to such a very small community.

- 1. We do not have the ongoing police presence to manage situations that WILL arise. It must be located in an area where there is constant police availability such as Oshawa, Whitby, Pickering where in all likelihood many would be coming from.
- 2. Such a small community as ours simply does not have the supervision, recreational and employment opportunities that would be required in order to allay mischief and crime in our community. We have more than our fair share of the "ill and needy" in this community thanks to the legal drug distribution placed in an inappropriate location- Beaverton."

"What is the real need for homeless in Beaverton. Is there a current need or are they relocating disenfranchised to a community that has no support?

# Page 16 of 30

- what supports will be offered to residents? We have limited local social/health services in Beaverton. Would it be better to be near new health center in Cannington?
- And I'm not sure the location next to the Manor is the right solution....There were many 'issues' that occurred in Lindsay brawls, guns, destruction, drug paraphernalia lying around, etc. Why put that risk so close to a waterfront neighbourhood? "

"How can Mayor Bath Haddon support this initiative without any community consultation? We haven't any transit, nor village grocery stores, No police presence or doctors. Why not supportive housing in a town closer to the city, where employment and social services are available. I'm scratching my head here? This is not a NYMBY response, my career was in the field with the homeless and City of Toronto Shelters. A perfect example is the epic failure of the Kawartha Lakes Housing Corporations in Lindsay."

https://lindsayadvocate.ca/needle-and-the-damage-done-drugs-in-public-housing/

https://www.mykawartha.com/news-story/9584667--it-s-just-a-building-of-doom-lindsay-affordable-housing-petition-launched/

"These individuals that would be moving up to live in these facilities and also those living here must be served, loved, and cared for in the appropriate location. This proposal is insanity in this tiny community, unable to provide employment, medical and physiotherapy doctors, education or public transportation.

Why is it happening? Due to our size and hoping that most of the individuals living and retiring in this little touristy town won't "kick up" a fight. Perhaps Larger more sophisticated towns/cities will, so I'm going to be asking questions and demanding accountability from our Brock Township leaders on WHY this is little village has this being dumped on our backs. Mayor Bath-Haddon lack of consultation with the community is appalling.

"Where are the resources for these people?

Beaverton has no hospital, walk in, social workers, not even a grocery store to get to without a car.It makes me wonder... who's pockets are getting lined to build this complex? This is not a responsible solution! These unfortunate people need way more than what this small town is able to supply!"

We hope that our collective voices will be heard, with consideration and consultations to we the people who elected you in Office.

We request a public meeting ASAP but, For now will settle for a statement from you Madam Mayor on HOW this housing project was assigned to our little village....and the location, beside a LTC facility, across from the fair grounds, play grounds and community centre. Absolutely insane planning.

With regards, Colleen McKenna

Beaverton, ON.

Subject:	FW: Region of Durham Housing Proposal	Date:	25/08/2020 Not Applicable
From: noreply@town	nshipofbrock.ca <noreply@townshipofbrock.ca> on behalf of Peter Bornemisa</noreply@townshipofbrock.ca>	Meeting Date Action: Notes:	null
		Copies to:	CII
	gust 19, 2020 9:14:13 AM		
	ubb@townshipofbrock.ca> urham Housing Proposal		
Hi Mike,			
located at 133 Main S why there hasn't been community an opport interested in seeing h interested in understa	Furham Region council minutes (July 29th) related to Expedited Supportive House it. in Beaverton. I'm wondering if you could provide more detail on this propose in public consultation to date on this development plan. Public consultation provide it is the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and impacts to local companies that the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and impacts to local companies that the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and more than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and More than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and More than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and More than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and More than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and More than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and More than the proposal and provide feedback to the Township and More than the proposal and the Provide feedback to the Township and Townshi	ed develowides the unicipalities of the unicipaliti	opment and e ity. I'd be es. I'd be onsidered
Beaverton currently is	erstanding if Beaverton has the social support systems in place for this type of d s looking for a doctor or nurse practitioner for the Medical Centre. What other s MS will be necessary to support this and other planned and approved developm	ocial in	nent. frastructure
Brock and Beaverton development charges of development. Will subsidies from the Prodevelopment, but have	ay be social benefit for Brock and Beaverton which is important. Is there an eco- with this development? We pay high taxes already. Typically, new development that benefit the township. I suspect there will be no development charges rece- our local Brock tax levy rate support this development or will it be self supporting poince or Federal government today and in the future? It's great to say we are go we considerations been given to the long term costs to operate, maintain and re- various infrastructure servicing it (water, sewer, roads, sidewalks) in the future	t is requeived with ng througoing to habilitat	ired to pay th this type ugh build this
Regards,			
Peter Bornemisa			
Origin: https://www.t	ownshipofbrock.ca/Modules/contact/search.aspx?s=QLiZcbJLWC7blSQjPlUsFHx	xQweQu	<u>ıAleQuAl</u>
This email was sent to	you by Peter Bornemisa https://www.town	shinofh	rock ca

Subject:

FW: Supportive Housing???

From: Besaw, Jim

Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2020, 8:41 p.m.

To: Council

Subject: Supportive Housing???

# Councillors, Mayor,

If the information I have received is correct, decisions that will effect my neighbourhood, real estate values, safety in the community and overall well being of my neighbours and my family have been made without input from the voters that elected you. Please feel free to correct me if I am wrong.

As I have not heard from Mr Jubb, my ward councilllor, on this issue, as he tends to keep his ward up to date on a variety of issues effecting his constituents through social media, including the service of each business in our town, one can only assume that the region is trying to shove this down our throats. Again please feel free to correct my guessing, but that is all that a person can do when they are kept in dark.

I have 2 questions,

- 1. Mr Jubb, were you aware of the supportive housing project and agree to it without any input from your constituents ?
- 2.Mrs Mayor, Did you agree to this at a regional level without involving your brock constituents? If either is the case, shame. Your constituents deserve more. Roderick Besaw

Roderick Besaw

Beaverton.

Sent from my Bell Samsung device over Canada's largest network.

Confidentiality Notice. This e-mail message: including any attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited, if you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

25/08/2020 t Applicable

Copies to:

Lesley	Doni	nelly
--------	------	-------

From:	noreply@townshipofbrock.ca on behalf of Sandy	
Sent:	August 22, 2020 9:19 PM	
To:	Brock General	Date:
Subject:	Hutchings	Refer to: No
		Meeting Date:
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up	Action: nu
Flag Status:	Flagged	Notes:

Iwant to voice my concern over the 50 unit supportive housing complex proposed by Durham region to be built in Beaverton.

Firstly, I am concerned that the township and Beaverton residents were not consulted on this project. I feel that a plan like this should certainly go through a regular constituency process. Rather, we were only informed of the project last week, and were told that the proposal is being expedited and that it will be moving forward regardless of concerns. This news has come as a surprise to our local residents who are being told that approval comes from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, regardless of local concerns.

I am quite curious how Beaverton was selected as the most appropriate sight for the project? At this time we struggle to support our own residents due to a lack of resources and infrastructure, so we are all quite confused how we will be able to provide support to additional residents who are already high risk and require significant support. We don't have a doctor, a hospital, bus service, taxi service, a grocery store that is within walking distance, employment opportunities, social services or extracurricular activities. In the last decade we have seen an influx of high risk individuals to Beaverton, without the supports to help them or house them, which is quite disheartening as these individuals struggle within our own community. According to this proposed project, north Durham's unsheltered residents will not receive any preferential placement at the housing complex, which is quite ridiculous considering it is being built in our community and directly affects our neighbourhood. As this project seems to be linked to Oshawa, which has the infrastructure to support these vulnerable individuals, it is unclear how Beaverton, which is the one of the farthest points in North Durham, is being selected to as the site for the complex. I would like to know how this decision was reached and what research supports it.

Origin: https://www.townshipofbrock.ca/en/municipal-office/plans-reports-and-studies.aspx				
This email was sent to you by Sandy	through https://www.townshipofbrock.ca.			

25/08/2020

Not Applicable

null

CII

Date:

Refer to:

Notes:

Copies to:

Meeting Date: Action:

# **Lesley Donnelly**

From:

**Brock Clerks** 

Subject:

FW: Supportive housing

From: Nicola Speakman

Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 10:13:40 AM
To: Michael Jubb <mjubb@townshipofbrock.ca>

**Subject:** Supportive housing

#### Mike

I do not believe enough research has been done regarding the Supportive housing that is being planned for Beaverton. I do not think Beaverton has the diverse support mechanisms in place that residents of this type of housing require. I believe there are other locations in Durham that are significantly more suitable in terms of meeting the needs of the residents that this type of housing is designed and expected to provide.

I trust that Brock Township will review their support of this project and that other locations will be given serious consideration before this project moves any further ahead.

If I need to express my concerns in greater detail please let me know who I should be contacting.

Thank you,

Nicola Speakman

Beaverton ON LOK 1A0

Get Outlook for Android



# **Community Services**

# Date: 25/08/2020 Refer to: Not Applicable Meeting Date: Action: null Notes: CII Copies to:

1102/20

Legislative Services

August 25, 2020 File #120203

Sent via email: Anthony.Rota@parl.gc.ca

The Honourable Anthony Rota, Chair of the Board for Internal Economy House of Commons Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6

Honourable and Dear Sir:

Re: City of Elliott Lake - Private Members Bill M-36 to Declare August 1 as "Emancipation Day" in Canada

Please be advised the Municipal Council of the Town of Fort Erie at its meeting of August 24, 2020 received and supported correspondence from the City of Elliott Lake dated August 14, 2020 acknowledging the Private Member Bill put forward by Majid Jowhari: M-36, Emancipation Day, 43<sup>rd</sup> Parliament, 1 Session.

Attached please find a copy of the City Elliott Lake's correspondence dated August 14, 2020,

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Yours very truly

Carol Schofield, Dipl.M.A.

Manager, Legislative Services/Clerk

cschofield@forterie.ca

CS:dlk Encl.

Sent via email

Natalie Bray, City Clerk, City of Elliott Lake <a href="mailto:nbray@city.elliotlake.on.ca">nbray@city.elliotlake.on.ca</a>
Michael Mantha, MPP, Algoma-Manitoulin <a href="mailto:mmantha-qp@ndp.on.ca">mmantha-qp@ndp.on.ca</a>
Carol Hughes, MP, Algoma-Manitoulin-Kapuskasing <a href="mailto:carol.hughes@parl.gc.ca">carol.hughes@parl.gc.ca</a>
Ontario Municipalities

Mailing Address:

Office Hours 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Phone: (905) 871-1600 FAX: (905) 871-4022

Web-site: www.forterie.ca

# THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF ELLIOT LAKE

# CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

Moved By: T. Turner Seconded By: L. Cyr

THAT the City of Elliot Lake Council acknowledges and supports the following Private Member Bill put forward by Majid Jowhari: M-36, Emancipation Day, 43rd Parliament, 1 Session that reads as follows:

"THAT the House recognizes that:

The British Parliament abolished slavery in the British Empire as of August 1, 1834:

Slavery existed in the British North America prior to the abolition in 1834; Abolitionists and others who struggled against slavery, including those who arrived in Upper and Lower Canada by the Underground Railroad, have historically celebrated August 1st as Emancipation;

The Government of Canada announced on January 30, 2018 that it would officially recognize the United Nations International Decade for people of African Descent to highlight important contributions that people of African descent have made to Canadian society, and to provide a platform for confronting anti-Black racism;

The heritage of Canada's peoples of African descent and the contributions they have made and continue to make to Canada and in the opinion of the House, the Government should designate August 1 of every year as "Emancipation Day" in Canada; and

THAT support for this motion be sent to the Member of Parliament for Algoma and all House of Commons representatives; and

THAT support for this motion be sent to all municipalities in Ontario.

Resolution No.

249/20

Carried

Mayor Dan Marchisella



Certified True Copy.

City Clerk

August 14, 2020



From: Sent: To: Subject:

Date:	25/08/2020
Refer to:	Not Applicable
Meeting Date:	
Action:	null
Notes:	CII
Copies to:	
l	

AMO Communications <Communicate@amo.on.ca>
August 24, 2020 3:15 PM
Becky Jamieson
AMO Policy Update – Orders Extended, New Direction regarding Facilities

AMO Update not displaying correctly? <u>View the online version</u>
Add Communicate@amo.on.ca to your safe list



August 24, 2020

# AMO Policy Update – Orders Extended, New Direction regarding Facilities

# **COVID-19 Emergency Orders Extended**

The Province has <u>extended</u> its COVID-19 emergency orders for up to 30 more days. All orders under the *Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act*, 2020 (ROA) have been extended to September 22, 2020, with the following exceptions:

- the Education Sector order will end on August 31, 2020
- the <u>Limitation Periods</u> order will end and suspended time periods will resume running on September 14, 2020.

#### Additional Direction for Facilities


The Ontario government is <u>easing restrictions for facilities</u> that rent out professional meeting and event spaces. Beginning August 21, 2020, facilities can have up to 50 guests for each indoor meeting room or event space within the facility. The facility must follow a plan approved by the Office of the Chief Medical Officer of Health.

The current indoor gathering limit will now apply on a per meeting room or event space basis at professional meeting and event facilities, including convention centres, hotels, motels, resorts, banquet halls and conference centres. Outdoor meeting and event facilities remain subject to the 100-person gathering limit for the entire outdoor area. Capacity limits exclude employees or event personnel.

In addition, new regulatory amendments have come into force requiring contact information for only one person in a party entering indoor or outdoor dining areas starting today, with exceptions. This is designed to reduce the administrative burden, while continuing to support case and contact tracing.

AMO's <u>COVID-19 Resources</u> page is being updated continually so you can find critical information in one place. Please send any of your municipally related pandemic questions to <u>covid19@amo.on.ca</u>.

\*Disclaimer: The Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) is unable to provide any warranty regarding the accuracy or completeness of third-party submissions. Distribution of these items does not imply an endorsement of the views, information or services mentioned.



Association of Municipalities of Ontario 200 University Ave. Suite 801,Toronto ON Canada M5H 3C6

Wish to Adjust your AMO Communication Preferences ? Click Here



Subject:	FW: Lakeview Manor/Gillespie Gardens Social Housing Site	Date:	25/08/2020
200	The same was manay amaspie surdens social modeling site	Refer to:	Not Applicable
		Meeting Date	:
From: Paul H. Nelson	@g	Action:	null
Sent: August 24, 2020 7:45 P	PM	Notes:	CII
To: Becky Jamieson bJamie	son@townshipofbrock.ca>	Copies to:	
Cc: Michael Jubb <miubb@to< td=""><td>ownshipofbrock.ca&gt;: Ted Smith <tsmith@townshipofbrock.ca></tsmith@townshipofbrock.ca></td><td></td><td></td></miubb@to<>	ownshipofbrock.ca>: Ted Smith <tsmith@townshipofbrock.ca></tsmith@townshipofbrock.ca>		

**Hi Clerk Becky; hope all is well !!** Please make sure that the following input on the proposed Regional Housing initiative in Beaverton is distributed to all members of Brock Council for their ongoing consideration. I will also be forwarding to the Durham Region Clerk's office. And please confirm back that you received this email and will be following up. **Thanks! PN** 

Subject: Fwd: Lakeview Manor/Gillespie Gardens Social Housing Site

Forwarded message			
From: Paul H. Nelson	) A		
Date: Mon, Aug 24, 2020 at 1:47 PM			
Subject: Lakeview Manor/Gillespie G	ardens Social Housing Site		
To: Mike Jubb < indexfinger69@hotm	nail.com>, Ted Smith <tsmith@towns< td=""><td>hipofbrock.ca&gt;, Cria Pettingill</td><td></td></tsmith@towns<>	hipofbrock.ca>, Cria Pettingill	
<pre><cpettingill@townshipofbrock.ca>, C</cpettingill@townshipofbrock.ca></pre>			
<wschummer@townshipofbrock.ca></wschummer@townshipofbrock.ca>			
Cc: JANIS NELSON	>, Kristine Peticca	>, @y	
>, Bruce Dixo	>, BRUC	E REYNOLDS @	>,
Eugenebelanger	, JAMES BARNSDALE	@	1/35

**Mike/Ted/Others:** Please accept this email as our personal input towards Regional Council's consideration of new social housing infrastructure at the LM/GG site in Beaverton.

- 1. Social housing in several forms is required to support those who truly require and deserve taxpayer funded assistance, but NOT for those capable but unwilling to help themselves.
- Assisted housing; especially projects for special needs clients; should be purposely placed where
  necessary support and community services; especially mental health services; are readily available. Job
  opportunities and public transportation should also be strong governing factors; and Beaverton lacks both, in
  spades.
- 3. 40 to 50 persons with extra social and mental health care needs seems a large and overpowering challenge to "impose" on a small rural town like Beaverton. Would the project's placement not be better place in a more central Durham location? Of course it would.
- 4. Although Regional land ownership/social housing zoning is a plus during any project discussion, I fear that Beaverton was a convenient out-of-sight/out-of-mind choice by Regional Council.
- 5. The mere size of the project; 40-50 persons with extra special needs; seems overwhelming. Has the Region considered smaller projects for special needs projects?
- 6. What other specific sites were considered by the Region for this project; as well as the Region's Housing Task Force; and how did LM/GG win out?
- Beaverton already has more than its share of community difficulties with mental health, drug use, loitering, panhandling, a drug clinic, and related criminal activities that usually accompany special needs facilities like the one being proposed.

# Page 26 of 30

- 8. I remind you of the ongoing serious problems for surrounding communities at 2 recently organized, similar Toronto facilities in the Eglinton/Mount Pleasant area; 3 persons stabbed just last evening.
- 9. For the past few years, the McLennan Beach/Cedar Beach area has suffered significant disturbance, reduced own property enjoyment and safety concerns related to a truly disturbed 36 year old male who has imposed his vulgar rantings on any/all neighbours within earshot; and speaks of family-related harm during some of his loud episodes. Confirm with DRP Services if you wish; who have been on site many times, and eventually helped guide this mentally ill person towards some type of care; but for how long ??
- 10. So imagine our real and justified concern about the possibility of several such additional persons being dropped into our small community without the availability of needed control and treatment features?
- 11. Where was the pre-project public consultation process for Beaverton? Seems like the Region just "imposed" the project on Beaverton, rather than working WITH the community?
- 12. If the project continues to be "imposed" on Beaverton, but hopefully on a smaller scale, what are the REAL, full time, hands-on, specialists who would be included 24/7 to make sure all community concerns are properly addressed?
- 13. Would facility residents' daily schedules be monitored and controlled by specialist staff, including necessary professional appointments?
- 14. Would the project include any "secure" units/areas for extra challenging residents, manned by qualified staff?
- 15. What is the ongoing process for Beaverton residents to be truly informed (not surprised) by the Region's ongoing project development ?

As you can sense from points above; the Nelsons and many others in our and other areas of Beaverton have real and justified concerns about the Region's seemingly mis-guided intent to place a large, specialized, social housing development within a small rural town that is NOT well suited to absorb such a facility or its intended residents' real needs.

Please make sure this input is added to Brock Council's project discussions, as well as the Region's ongoing decision making process. Hopefully enough Regional reps, including Brock's, will realize that this specific project is better located in the central/south area of Durham, and that the presently proposed Regional land is saved for more appropriate seniors housing projects in a small rural town.

Please keep us updated. Thanks!! Paul & Janis Nelson,


From: Nicola Speakman

**Sent:** August 25, 2020 5:59 PM

To: Debbie Bath-Hadden; Ted Smith; Lynn Campbell

Cc: Brock General Subject: Supportive housing

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged



Please find below the email I sent to Mike Jubb this morning outlining my opposition to the Supportive Housing Plan that is being proposed for development in Beaverton.

I do not believe Beaverton can:

- 1. guarantee these residents the medical support that is in keeping with their diverse needs
- 2. offer easy access to a grocery store
- 3. provide job opportunities that match their employment qualifications
- 4. provide affordable and regular transportation options to access a workplace that is not in Beaverton

A larger community in Durham Region would be able to provide this support and would be significantly more suitable for this type of housing.

I will also be sharing these views with John Henry, via his Durham Region email contact page. Thank you,

# Nicola Speakman

Beaverton ON

From: Nicola Speakman

\_\_\_\_

Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 10:13:40 AM
To: Michael Jubb <mjubb@townshipofbrock.ca>

Subject: Supportive housing

# Mike

I do not believe enough research has been done regarding the Supportive housing that is being planned for Beaverton. I do not think Beaverton has the diverse support mechanisms in place that residents of this type of housing require. I believe there are other locations in Durham that are significantly more suitable in terms of meeting the needs of the residents that this type of housing is designed and expected to provide.

I trust that Brock Township will review their support of this project and that other locations will be given serious consideration before this project moves any further ahead.

If I need to express my concerns in greater detail please let me know who I should be contacting. Thank you,

Nicola Speakman

Beaverton ON L0K 1A0

Get Outlook for Android

From: To: Subject: Date:	AMO Communications  Becky Jamieson  AMO WatchFile - August 27, 2020  August 27, 2020 10:05:27 AM					
AMO WatchFile not displaying correctly? View the online version Add Communicate@amo.on.ca to your safe list						
AMO Watch	File banner		Date:	28/08/2020		
			Refer to:	Not Applicable		
		?	Meeting Date			
		Action:	null			
			Notes:	CII		
			Copies to:			

August 27, 2020

## In This Issue

- COVID-19 resources.
- Input into guidance on protecting drinking water sources.
- Everything you need to know about Land Use Planning.
- eSCRIBE versus typical meeting management software.
- Investments 101 Training Coming soon!
- Lakeshore resolution concerning internet overage charges.
- Careers with Town of Mattawa and City of Toronto.

# **AMO Matters**

AMO's COVID-19 <u>resource page</u> is updated continually so you can find critical information in one place. It has a section on mandatory face masks bylaws/directives for municipal governments considering similar bylaws. Send any of your municipally related pandemic questions to <u>covid19@amo.on.ca</u>.

# **Provincial Matters**

The Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks is seeking feedback from municipalities on draft guidance materials being developed to help protect drinking water sources that are not included in source protection plans. Interested participants should reach out directly to MECP for more details. Contact: Michelle Zehr or Wendy Lavender.

# **Eye on Events**

Back by popular demand, *Land Use Planning: Beyond the Basics* is coming to you virtually. This training provides insight and tools to support your central role in implementing and managing the land use policy framework. <u>Registration details</u>.

Join AMO's <u>partner</u>, eSCRIBE, for a <u>webinar</u> on August 27 at 1 pm EDT as they discuss the benefits of implementing digital meeting management technology, including cost and time savings.

#### **ONE Investment**

Online investment learning coming this fall (September 1 - December, 2020). To

register <u>click here</u>. This year's course will cover the Prudent Investor Standard and understanding of the existing investments under the Legal List.

# **Municipal Wire\***

The <u>Town of Lakeshore resolution</u> resolves that the Government of Canada be urged to immediately work with internet connection providers to ensure that all Canadians are paying a fair and similar price for their internet connection including the elimination of data usage caps and overage fees.

#### **Careers**

Chief Administrative Officer - Town of Mattawa. The Town of Mattawa is a beautifully breathtaking small urban municipality located in the District of Nipissing. Please submit your resume to Mayor Dean Backer & Council, by no later than Friday, September 4, 2020 at 5:00 pm to: Corporation of the Town of Mattawa, c/o Mayor Dean Backer and Council, 160 Water Street, Box 390, Mattawa, ON P0H 1V0. Tel: 705.744.5611; Email: <a href="mailto:francine.desormeau@mattawa.ca">francine.desormeau@mattawa.ca</a>

<u>Director of Purchasing Client Services - City of Toronto</u>. Reports to: Chief Procurement Officer. Division: Purchasing & Materials Management. For more information on this and other opportunities with the City of Toronto, visit us online at <u>Jobs at the City</u>. To <u>apply online</u>, submit your resume, quoting Job ID 10947, by September 18, 2020.

#### About AMO

AMO is a non-profit organization representing almost all of Ontario's 444 municipal governments. AMO supports strong and effective municipal government in Ontario and promotes the value of municipal government as a vital and essential component of Ontario's and Canada's political system. Follow <a href="mailto:@AMOPolicy">@AMOPolicy</a> on Twitter!

#### **AMO Contacts**

AMO Watch File Tel: 416.971.9856

Conferences/Events

Policy and Funding Programs

**LAS Local Authority Services** 


MEPCO Municipal Employer Pension Centre of Ontario

**ONE Investment** 

Media Inquiries Tel: 416.729.5425

Municipal Wire, Career/Employment and Council Resolution Distributions

\*Disclaimer: The Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) is unable to provide any warranty regarding the accuracy or completeness of third-party submissions. Distribution of these items does not imply an endorsement of the views, information or services mentioned.



# Page 30 of 30 The Corporation of the Township of Huron-Kinloss



P.O. Box 130 21 Queen St.

Ripley, Ontario N0G2R0 Phone: (519) 395-3735 Fax: (519) 395-4107

August 28, 2020

E-mail: <a href="mailto:info@huronkinloss.com">info@huronkinloss.com</a> Website: <a href="http://www.huronkinloss.com">http://www.huronkinloss.com</a>

Municipality of Chatham-Kent Judy Smith, Director of Municipal Governance Clerk, Freedom of Information Coordinator 315 King Street West, P.O. Box 640 Chatham ON N7M 5K8

null

CII

Notes: Copies to:

Action:

Dear Ms. Smith;

Re: Copy of Resolution #511

Motion No. 511

Moved by: Jeff Elliott Seconded by: Lillian Abbott

That the Township of Huron-Kinloss Council is in support of designating August 1 of every year as Emancipation Day in Canada and FURTHER direct staff to forward a copy of the resolution to Chatham-Kent and all Ontario municipalities.

Carried

Sincerely,

Kelly Lush Deputy Clerk

c.c Mr. Justin Trudeau, The Right Honourable Prime Minister of Canada, Mr. Douglas Ford, The Honourable Premier of Canada and all Ontario Municipalities.